

Application No. 10/029,684
Amendment dated July 29, 2005
Response to Office action of 29 April 2005

REMARKS

In the above-mentioned Final Office Action, claims 119-129 were allowed, claim 110 was rejected, and, while objection was made to claims 111-118 for being dependent upon a rejected parent claim, claims 111-118 were acknowledged to recite patentable subject matter. The rejected claim, claim 110, was rejected under Section 103(a) over the combination of *Roderique and Gupta*.


Claims 110-118 are canceled, as proposed herein in the listing of claims.

Amendments are proposed to claims 119 and 127, and the dependent claims 120-126, also as proposed herein in the listing of claims. The proposed amendments are not believed to be matters of form and do not affect the substance of the claim recitations. Entry of the proposed amendments is respectfully requested.

As the remaining claims, claims 119-127 are allowed, and no non-allowed claims remain pending in the application, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, allowance of the application is respectfully requested. Such early action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 29 July 05


Robert H. Kelly, Reg. No. 38,922
Attorney for Applicant

SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P.
5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1400
Dallas, Texas 75225
Telephone: (214) 706-4200
Fax: (214) 706-4242
robert.kelly@scheefandstone.com